D. Maimon Kirschenbaum Michael DiGiulio JOSEPH & KIRSCHENBAUM LLP 32 Broadway, Suite 601 New York, NY 10004 (212) 688-5640 (212) 981-9587 (fax)

Attorneys for Named Plaintiff, proposed FLSA Collective Plaintiff, and proposed Class

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VALENTINA VELEVA, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated,

Case No. 24-cv-04930-MKV

Plaintiff,

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

v.

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION AND RULE 23 CLASS ACTION

SEBASTIAN'S PIZZERIA INC. d/b/a LAZZARA'S PIZZA, SEBASTIAN LAZZARA, and TONY LAZZARA,

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

]	Defendants.
	x

Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has original federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this case is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA"). This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the New York state law claims, as they are so related to the claims in this action within the Court's original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.
- 2. Venue is proper in this District because Defendant conduct business in this District, and the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims herein alleged took place in this District.

THE PARTIES

- 3. Defendant Sebastian's Pizzeria Inc. is a New York for-profit Corporation that owns and operates Lazzara's Pizzeria ("Lazzara's) in midtown Manhattan.
 - 4. Sebastian's Pizzeria Inc. has an annual gross volume of sales in excess of \$500,000.
- 5. Sebastian's Pizzeria Inc. has employees engaged in commerce and handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods and materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person.
- 6. Defendants Sebastian and Tony Lazzara are brothers, and they jointly own and operate Lazzara's. They are both regularly present at the restaurant, they both regularly set employees' schedules and handle payroll issues, and they both regularly hire and fire employees at the restaurant.
- 7. Plaintiff Valentina Veleva was employed by Defendants as a server from January 2024 to June 2024.

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Plaintiff brings the First and Sixth Claims for Relief as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all tipped employees employed by Defendants on or after the date that is three years before the filing of the Original Complaint in this case as defined herein ("FLSA Collective Plaintiffs").
- 9. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are and have been similarly situated, have had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and are and have been subject to Defendants' decision, policy, plan and common policies, programs, practices, procedures, protocols, routines, and rules of willfully retaining their tips and failing to

pay them the proper overtime rate. The claims of Plaintiff stated herein are essentially the same as those of the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.

10. The First and Sixth Claims for Relief are properly brought under and maintained as an opt-in collective action pursuant to § 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. 216(b). The FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are readily ascertainable. For purpose of notice and other purposes related to this action, their names and addresses are readily available from the Defendants. Notice can be provided to the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs via first class mail, email, and text message to the last address, email address, and phone number known to Defendants.

RULE 23 CLASS ALLEGATIONS – NEW YORK

- 11. Plaintiff bring the state law wage and hour Claims for Relief pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("F.R.C.P.") Rule 23, on behalf of all tipped employees employed by Defendants at Lazzara's on or after the date that is six years before the filing of the Original Complaint in this case as defined herein (the "Class Period").
- 12. All said persons, including Plaintiff, are referred to herein as the "Class." The Class members are readily ascertainable. The number and identity of the Class members are determinable from the records of Defendants. The hours assigned and worked, the positions held, and the rates of pay for each Class member are also determinable from Defendants' records. For purposes of notice and other purposes related to this action, their names and addresses are readily available from Defendants. Notice can be provided by means permissible under said F.R.C.P. 23.
- 13. The proposed Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, and the disposition of their claims as a class will benefit the parties and the court. Although the precise number of such persons is unknown, and the facts on which the calculation of that number are

presently within the sole control of Defendants, upon information and belief, there are more than fifty (50) members of the Class.

- 14. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those claims which could be alleged by any member of the Class, and the relief sought is typical of the relief which would be sought by each member of the Class in separate actions. All the Class members were subject to the same corporate practices of Defendants, as alleged herein, of failing to pay minimum wage, the correct overtime wage, and spread of hours compensation, illegally retaining tips, and failing to provide required wage notices and wage statements. Defendants' corporate-wide policies and practices affected all Class members similarly, and Defendants benefited from the same type of unfair and/or wrongful acts as to each Class member. Plaintiff and other Class members sustained similar losses, injuries and damages arising from the same unlawful policies, practices and procedures.
- 15. Plaintiff is able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and have no interests antagonistic to the Class. Plaintiff is represented by attorneys who are experienced and competent in both class action litigation and employment litigation and have previously represented Plaintiff in wage and hour cases.
- 16. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual class members lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit against corporate Defendants. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of efforts and expense that numerous individual actions engender. Because the losses, injuries and damages suffered by each of the individual Class members are small in the sense pertinent to a class action analysis, the expenses and burden of individual

litigation would make it extremely difficult or impossible for the individual Class members to redress the wrongs done to them. On the other hand, important public interests will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. The adjudication of individual litigation claims would result in a great expenditure of Court and public resources; however, treating the claims as a class action would result in a significant saving of these costs. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent and/or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, establishing incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants and resulting in the impairment of class members' rights and the disposition of their interests through actions to which they were not parties. The issues in this action can be decided by means of common, class-wide proof. In addition, if appropriate, the Court can, and is empowered to, fashion methods to efficiently manage this action as a class action.

- 17. Upon information and belief, Defendants and other employers throughout the state violate the New York Labor Law. Current employees are often afraid to assert their rights out of fear of direct or indirect retaliation. Former employees are fearful of bringing claims because doing so can harm their employment, future employment, and future efforts to secure employment. Class actions provide class members who are not named in the complaint a degree of anonymity which allows for the vindication of their rights while eliminating or reducing these risks.
- 18. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class which predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members, including:
- a) Whether Defendants employed Plaintiff and the Class members within the meaning of New York law.
- b) At what common rate, or rates subject to common methods of calculation, were and are Defendants required to pay Plaintiff and the Class members for their work.

- c) Whether Defendants paid Plaintiff and the Class members the minimum wage for all hours worked.
- d) Whether Defendants paid Plaintiff and the Class members the correct overtime rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek.
- e) Whether Defendants illegally retained portions of Plaintiff's tips and the Class members' tips.
- f) Whether Defendants paid employees New York's "spread of hours" premium when their workdays exceeded 10 hours.
- g) Whether Defendants provided Plaintiff and Class members with the proper wage notices/statements.

FACTS

- 19. Plaintiff's Consent to Sue form is attached as Exhibit A.
- 20. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.
- 21. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of minimum wage, spread of hours pay, and other compensation would economically injure Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiff, and members of the Class and violate federal and state laws.
 - 22. Plaintiff worked for Defendants as a server.
- 23. Plaintiff and all other front of the house employees were paid pursuant to New York's tip credit minimum wage, which is lower than the full minimum wage. For example, in 2024, the foodservice NY minimum wage is \$10.65, and the full NY minimum wage was \$16.

- 24. Defendants were not entitled to pay Plaintiff and all other front of the house employees less than the full minimum wage because Defendants did not give them proper written notice of the minimum wage.
- 25. In fact, Defendants did not give Plaintiff and other front of hours employees notice and acknowledgement of pay rate forms upon the commencement of their employment. As a result of Defendants' failure to provide the written wage notice required by N.Y. Lab. L. § 195(1), Defendants' use of the tip credit was an underpayment of wages.
- 26. Defendants were also not entitled to pay Plaintiff pursuant to any tip credits because they required her to spend more than two hours per day or 20% of her shift washing dishes and packing pizzas for delivery.
- 27. Many customers placed sizable orders for pickup. Plaintiff was often assigned to prepare the orders for these customers, who typically left fairly large tips. Defendants did not distribute these tips to the service employees who prepared the orders.
- 28. Until about March 2024, when Plaintiff complained, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff New York's "spread of hours" premium when her workday lasted longer than 10 hours. Plaintiff's regular shifts at that point began at 11:00 a.m. and ended past 9:30 p.m.
- 29. Until about March 2024, when Plaintiff complained, Defendants retained a share of servers' tips and did not distribute them to other service employees.
- 30. Defendants often required Plaintiff to clock out 10-15 minutes before the end of her shifts and work off the clock. As a result of this Plaintiff was not paid for all her hours worked.
- 31. Defendants gave Plaintiff wage statements that included less time than what Plaintiff actually worked. As a result, Plaintiff was paid for the incorrect number of hours stated on the wage statements, not the actual hours she worked.

- 32. Plaintiff often worked over forty hours in a workweek for Defendants. For example, during the work week of June 2-8, 2024, Plaintiff worked at least 40.52 hours.
- 33. Because Defendants unlawfully paid Plaintiff and the Class Members below the minimum wage, Defendants paid Plaintiff and the Class Members an incorrect and unlawful overtime rate.
- 34. In addition, because Defendants required Plaintiff to clock out early and continuing working, often times Plaintiff would work over forty hours in a workweek, but Defendants paid her for less than forty hours of work. In those instances, Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff for every hour she worked resulted in Defendants failing to pay Plaintiff the required overtime premium.
- 35. Defendants committed the foregoing acts against Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Members, and the Class.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(FLSA Illegal Deductions from Gratuities, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of Herself and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs)

- 36. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.
- 37. At all relevant times, Defendants have been, and continue to be, "employers" engaged in interstate "commerce" and/or in the production of "goods" for "commerce," within the meaning of FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.
- 38. At all relevant times, Defendants have employed "employee[s]," including Plaintiff and each of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.

- 39. Throughout the statute of limitations period covered by these claims, Defendants knowingly retained/misappropriated gratuities belonging to Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.
- 40. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, seek damages in the amount of their respective unpaid gratuities, liquidated damages for misappropriated and late paid gratuities as provided by the FLSA, attorneys' fees and costs, post-judgment interest, and such other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just and proper.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(New York State Minimum Wage Act, New York Labor Law § 650 et seq.; N.Y. Comp. Codes R. & Regs. tit. 12, §§ 146-1.3, 146-2.9) (Brought By Plaintiff on Behalf of Herself and the Class)

- 41. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.
- 42. Defendants knowingly failed to pay Plaintiff and the Class members the full New York State minimum wage for all hours worked.
- 43. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to an award of damages, including liquidated damages, in amount to be determined at trial, pre- and post-judgment interest, costs and attorneys' fees, as provided by N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(New York Spread of Hours Provisions, N.Y. Lab. L. §§ 650 et seq. N.Y. Comp. Codes R. & Regs. tit. 12, § 146-1.6)
(Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of Herself and the Class)

- 44. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.
- 45. Plaintiff and the Class Members regularly had workdays that lasted more than ten (10) hours.

- 46. Defendants willfully and intentionally failed to compensate Plaintiff and Class Members one hour's pay at the basic New York minimum hourly wage rate when their workdays exceeded ten (10) hours, as required by New York law.
- 47. As a result of Defendants' willful and unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to an award of damages, including liquidated damages, in amount to be determined at trial, pre- and post-judgment interest, costs and attorneys' fees, as provided by N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Illegal Deductions from Gratuities, N.Y. Lab. L. §§ 193, 196-d and 198-b) (Brought By Plaintiff on Behalf of Herself and the Class)

- 48. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.
 - 49. Defendants willfully diverted and/or retained portions of Plaintiff's and Class Members' tips.
 - 50. As a result of Defendants' willful and unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to an award of damages, including liquidated damages, in amount to be determined at trial, pre- and post-judgment interest, and costs and attorneys' fees.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (New York Notice Requirements, N.Y. Lab. L. §§ 195, 198) (Brought By Plaintiff on Behalf of Herself and the Class)

- 51. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.
- 52. Defendant did not provide Plaintiff and members of the Class with the wage notices/statements required by N.Y. Lab. Law § 195(1), (3).

53. As a result of Defendants' willful and unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to an award of damages in amount to be determined at trial and costs and attorneys' fees.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(FLSA Overtime Violations, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of Herself and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs)

- 54. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, realleges and incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs.
- 55. Throughout the statute of limitations period covered by these claims, Plaintiff and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 56. At all relevant times, Defendants operated under a decision, policy, and plan, and under common policies, programs, practices, procedures, protocols, routines, and rules of willfully failing and refusing to pay Plaintiff and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs the appropriate overtime rate for work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, and willfully failing to keep records required by the FLSA even though the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs have been and are entitled to overtime.
- 57. At all relevant times, Defendants willfully, regularly and repeatedly failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs at the required overtime rates for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 58. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, seeks damages in the amount of their respective unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated (double) damages as provided by the FLSA for overtime violations, attorneys' fees and costs, pre- and post- judgment interest, and such other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just and proper.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(New York Overtime Violations, L. § 650 *et seq.*, N.Y. Comp. Codes R. & Regs. Tit. 12, §

- N.Y. Lab. L. § 650 et seq., N.Y. Comp. Codes R. & Regs. Tit. 12, § 146-1.4 Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of Herself and the Class)
- 59. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Class Members, realleges and incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs.
- 60. It is unlawful under New York law for an employer to suffer or permit a non-exempt employee to work without paying proper overtime wages for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in any workweek.
- 61. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants willfully, regularly, and repeatedly failed to pay Plaintiff and the Class members at the required overtime rate for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 62. As a result of Defendants' willful and unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to an award of damages, including liquidated damages, in an amount to be determined at trial, pre- and post-judgment interest, costs and attorneys' fees, as provided by N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and members of the Class, prays for relief as follows:

A. Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims and state

claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

- B. Designation of Plaintiff as Representative of the FLSA Collective;
- C. Designation of this action as a class action pursuant to F.R.C.P. 23.
- D. Designation of Plaintiff as Representative of the Class.
- E. An award of damages, according to proof, including liquidated damages, to be paid by Defendants;
- F. Penalties available under applicable laws;
- G. Costs of action incurred herein, including expert fees;
- H. Attorneys' fees, including fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216, N.Y. Lab. L. § 663 and other applicable statutes;
- I. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by law; and
- J. Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York November 20, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH & KIRSCHENBAUM LLP

By: /s/ Michael DiGiulio Michael DiGiulio 32 Broadway, Suite 601 New York, NY 10004 Tel: (212) 688-5640

Fax: (212) 981-9587

Attorneys for Named Plaintiff, proposed FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and proposed Class

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all causes of action and claims with respect to which she has a right to jury trial.